

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE  
WESTERN DIVISION**

**WB MUSIC CORP., MOTHER CULTURE  
PUBLISHING, EASY ACTION MUSIC, EMI  
APRIL MUSIC, INC., HERBILICIOUS  
MUSIC, MUSIC OF WINDSWEPT, and  
ARLOVOL MUSIC,**

**Plaintiffs,**

**v.**

**TENNESSEE ENTERTAINMENT  
CONCEPTS, INC. and CHARLES G.  
WESTLUND, JR.,**

**Defendants.**

**No. \_\_\_\_\_**

**COMPLAINT**

Plaintiffs, by their undersigned attorneys, allege:

1. This is a suit for copyright infringement under Title 17 of the United States Code.
2. This Court has jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1338(a), and venue in this District is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1400(a).
3. Plaintiffs allege five (5) causes of action for copyright infringement based on the Defendants' public performances of Plaintiffs' copyrighted musical compositions. SCHEDULE A, annexed to the Complaint, sets forth in summary form the allegations hereinafter made with respect to the Plaintiffs, their copyrighted musical compositions, and Defendants' acts of infringement.

### **THE PARTIES**

4. The Plaintiffs named in Column 2\* are the owners of the copyrights in the works listed in Column 3, and are properly joined in this complaint under Rule 20 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

5. On information and belief, defendant Tennessee Entertainment Concepts, Inc. ("TEC"), is a corporation organized under the laws of Tennessee, with a principal place of business located at 780 Ridge Lake Boulevard, Suite 202, Memphis, Tennessee 38120.

6. At all times hereinafter mentioned TEC did, and still does, own, control, manage, operate, and/or maintain a place of business for public entertainment, accommodation, amusement, and refreshment known as The Pony, located at 3918 Winchester Road, Memphis, Tennessee 38118.

7. Musical compositions were and are publicly performed at The Pony.

8. On information and belief, defendant Charles G. Westlund, Jr. ("Westlund" and, together with TEC, the "Defendants") is an individual who resides or does business in this District.

9. On information and belief, Westlund is a principal, director, and/or officer of TEC.

10. At all times hereinafter mentioned, Westlund was, and still is, responsible for the control, management, operation, and maintenance of the affairs of TEC.

11. At all times hereinafter mentioned, Defendants jointly had, and still have, the right and ability to supervise and control the activities that take place at The Pony, including the right and ability to supervise and control the public performance of musical compositions at the establishment.

---

\* All references to "columns" herein refer to the numbered columns set forth in Schedule A.

12. Each Defendant derives a direct financial benefit from the public performance of musical compositions at The Pony.

**FACTS SPECIFIC TO DEFENDANTS' INFRINGEMENT OF PLAINTIFFS'**  
**COPYRIGHTED MUSICAL COMPOSITIONS**

13. The Plaintiffs are all members of the American Society of Composers, Authors, and Publishers ("ASCAP"), a membership association that represents, licenses, and protects the public performance rights of its more than 585,000 songwriter, composer, and music publisher members.

14. Each ASCAP member grants to ASCAP a non-exclusive right to license the performing rights in that member's copyrighted musical compositions. On behalf of its members, ASCAP licenses public performances of its members' musical works, collects license fees associated with those performances, and distributes royalties to its members, less ASCAP's operating expenses.

15. In undertaking the conduct complained of in this action, Defendants knowingly and intentionally violated Plaintiffs' rights. Defendants' knowledge and intent are established by the following facts:

(a) On March 15, 2009, Defendants entered into a license agreement with ASCAP.

(b) Defendants, however, failed to pay license fees as required by the license agreement, and on September 30, 2015, upon due notice, ASCAP terminated the agreement for default.

(c) Despite reminders of their liability under the United States Copyright Law, Defendants have continued to present public performances of the copyrighted musical

compositions of ASCAP members at The Pony, including the copyrighted works involved in this action, without permission, during the hours that the establishment is open to the public for business and presenting musical entertainment.

16. Since September 30, 2015, ASCAP representatives have made multiple attempts to contact the Defendants, or their representatives, agents, or employees, to offer to reinstate the ASCAP license for The Pony.

17. ASCAP's various communications put Defendants on notice that unlicensed performances of ASCAP's members' musical compositions at The Pony constitute infringement of ASCAP's members' copyrights in their musical works.

18. Defendants have refused all of ASCAP's license offers for The Pony.

19. The original musical compositions listed in Column 3 were created and written by the persons named in Column 4.

20. The original musical compositions listed in Column 3 were published on the dates stated in Column 5, and since the date of publication have been printed and published in strict conformity with Title 17 of the United States Code.

21. The Plaintiffs named in each cause of action, including their predecessors in interest, if any, complied in all respects with Title 17 of the United States Code, secured the exclusive rights and privileges in and to the copyright of each composition listed in Column 3, and received from the Register of Copyrights a Certificate of Registration, identified as set forth in Column 6.

22. Defendants on the dates specified in Column 7, and upon information and belief, at other times prior and subsequent thereto, infringed the copyright in each composition named in Column 3 by giving public performances of the compositions at The Pony, for the entertainment and amusement of the patrons attending said premises, and Defendants threaten to continue such infringing performances.

23. The public performances at The Pony of the Plaintiffs' copyrighted musical compositions on the dates specified in Column 7 were unauthorized: neither Defendants, nor any of the Defendants' agents, servants or employees, nor any performer was licensed by, or otherwise received permission from any Plaintiff, or any agent, servant, or employee of any Plaintiff, to give such performances.

24. In undertaking the conduct complained of in this action, Defendants knowingly and intentionally violated Plaintiffs' rights.

25. The many unauthorized performances at Pony include the performances of the five copyrighted musical compositions upon which this action is based.

26. At the times of the acts of infringement complained of, the Plaintiff named in each cause of action was the owner of the copyright in the composition therein named.

27. The said wrongful acts of the Defendants have caused and are causing great injury to the Plaintiffs, which damage cannot be accurately computed, and unless this court restrains the Defendants from the further commission of said acts, said Plaintiffs will suffer irreparable injury, for all of which the said Plaintiffs are without any adequate remedy at law.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray:

I. That Defendants and all persons acting under the direction, control, permission, or authority of Defendants be enjoined and restrained permanently from publicly performing the aforementioned compositions -- or any of them -- and from causing or permitting the said compositions to be publicly performed at Pony, or in any place owned, controlled or conducted by Defendants, and from aiding or abetting the public performance of such compositions in any such place or otherwise.

II. That Defendants be decreed to pay such statutory damages as to the Court shall appear just, as specified in 17 U.S.C. § 504(c)(1), namely, not more than Thirty Thousand Dollars (\$30,000) nor less than Seven Hundred And Fifty Dollars (\$750) in each cause of action herein.

III. That Defendants be decreed to pay the costs of this action and that a reasonable attorney's fee be allowed as part of the costs.

IV. For such other and further relief as may be just and equitable.

CORNELIUS & COLLINS, LLP

s/ Blakeley D. Matthews

Blakeley D. Matthews (#12168)

Eileen M. Forsythe (#34237)

511 Union Street, Suite 1500

Nashville, TN 37219

615/244-1440

[bdmatthews@cclawtn.com](mailto:bdmatthews@cclawtn.com)

[emforsythe@cclawtn.com](mailto:emforsythe@cclawtn.com)